Corrections

2. Page 16, delete reference to Condition 3 g) "Proposed Site Ground Plan" etc, to correct an error in the list of drawings submitted as part of the planning application.
3. Page 21, Condition 25, correct all cross-references to refer to Policy 28 g) rather than Policy 27 g) to correct a consequential error.
4. Page 24, Condition 30, last sentence should refer to "Condition 29."
5. Page 29, Paragraph 16, correct first sentence to read "site slopes gently downwards towards its south-east corner" to accurately reflect the existing site ground conditions.
6. Page 29, Paragraph 22, first sentence, amend sentence to read "119m long" to accurately reflect the submitted proposals.
7. Page 30, Paragraph 23, last sentence, amend sentence to read "14.5m high" to accurately reflect the submitted proposals.
8. Page 56, Paragraph 225, delete "from waste" because is repeated twice.
9. Page 57, Paragraph 233, delete "as material" because is repeated twice.
10. Page 68, amend Paragraph 310 to refer to Condition 41 rather than Condition 40, to correct a consequential error.
11. Page 68, amend Paragraph 312 to refer to Condition 50 rather than Condition 49, to correct a consequential error.

Updates

12. Page 18, Condition 7, replace "before occupancy of any part of" with "prior to the commissioning of", in the final sentence, to more accurately reflect sequence of events.
13. Page 24, Condition 35 a) delete reference to "Work may proceed during the following periods: Monday to Friday 0700 to 1900 hours, Saturday 0700 to 1300 hours. No working on Sundays or Public Holidays.", to more accurately reflect proposed construction operations.
14. Page 44, please note that there is one additional email representation that raises no new issues and brings total to 31 letters from 27 individuals or groups of individuals (including companies).
15. Page 46, Paragraph 153, Claydon and Whitton Parish Council have made a further consultation response, which refers to the issue of predetermination in connection with the potential granting of planning permission prior to the issue by the Environment Agency of the Environmental Permit and also the announcement of a contract between the Waste Disposal Authority and SITA Suffolk before determination of the Planning Application. Councillor Guy McGregor has replied in writing to the effect that neither takes precedence or prejudices the outcome of the other.
16. Page 59, Paragraph 243, penultimate line after "T12 and;" insert "footpaths and bridleways in policy RT12;" to accurately reflect the relevant considerations and policies considered.