

Laurel Cook

From: Heather Miller
Sent: 14 May 2012 08:45
To: 'Nicholson, Toby (UK - England)'
Cc: Laurel Cook
Subject: RE: Traffic Regulation Order - Alde Lane & The Terrace, Aldeburgh ET04645-101

Dear Mr Nicholson

Thank you for your message. Your concerns about the proposals for Aldeburgh have been noted will in due course be included in any report made to the Rights of Way Committee. This Committee considers both rights of way and traffic management proposals that are contested. The Committee's recommendations are then reported to the Portfolio Holder, Councillor Guy McGregor, for the Council's final decision on the proposals and in that case I will write to you again after the decision. In the meantime I am forwarding your message to the scheme manager for her attention.

The date of the next two committee meetings when these proposals are likely to be considered are 19 June and 12 September 2012. The meeting agenda can be found at the appropriate time on the SCC website on the following link, together with the procedure for those wishing to speak at the meeting:

<http://www.suffolk.gov.uk/CouncilAndDemocracy/DecisionMaking/>

Kind Regards

Heather Miller
Senior Legal Officer

Legal Services - Scrutiny and Monitoring Division
Resource Management Directive
Suffolk County Council
Endeavour House
8 Russell Road
Ipswich
Suffolk
IP1 2BX
Tel: (01473) 264184
Fax: (01473) 216825

From: Nicholson, Toby (UK - England) [mailto:Toby.Nicholson@eu.jll.com]
Sent: 09 May 2012 20:55
To: Heather Miller
Subject: Traffic Regulation Order - Alde Lane & The Terrace, Aldeburgh ET04645-101

Dear Ms Miller,

I have seen the proposals for traffic regulation on The Terrace and also Alde Lane, and understand that views are to be directed to you.

My Granny lives at Toppers, mid way down the terrace and we visit frequently and I strongly objected to the proposals for The Terrace and part of Alde Lane. The grounds for the objections are as follows -

1). The huge minority of our neighbours have the benefit of off-street parking, and your proposals would add to the already almost intolerably difficult street parking problem in this part of Aldeburgh. I calculate that your proposals would result in a loss of some 12-15 on-street car spaces in/around The Terrace, and this would just

exacerbate the situation. We already have to compete for spaces with the trades people and shoppers who use The Terrace and Park Lane as a 'car park' since one has to pay to park in Oakley Square, and also the Catholics when they attend their church.

2). We strongly feel that due to the parking restrictions proposed the properties in the immediate locality (including ours) will suffer a loss of value.

3). I have been informed that Parking Permits for Residents is out of the question.

4). I understand that the proposals for double yellow lines on one side half-way down The Terrace are due to one or two residents in the Terrace object to people parking outside their property and some say that services find it hard to properly operate their vehicles - the dustmen seem to cope with their large vehicles. I firmly believe that double yellow lines are not necessary half-way down The Terrace at all. There is room with cars parked either side for these vehicles.

6). We can see the need to keep clear the corner of The Terrace with Park Lane (but not outside 5 Park Lane) and the junction with Beaconsfield Road for police fire and ambulance services, but not the extension of the existing double yellows outside the Catholic church. What possibly could be gained? Why double yellows - at least if they were single yellow lines residents would be able to park there on return from work after 6pm?

7). We are worried also that, if the proposals were to be allowed to proceed, joy-riders would find The Terrace a suitable addition to their 'runways' on the High Street and Lee Road, whereas they can't at the moment at speed due to the narrow width between parked cars. This is a genuine concern as we've noticed this phenomenon increasing over recent months/years.

8) With regard to double yellow lines in Alde Lane this is necessary at the junction with Victoria Road as it has always been a very tricky corner at the best of times. I do not believe it to be necessary to have them the full length of Alde Lane. Very few people park in Alde Lane at any time- all the properties have off street parking, except the ones near Victoria Road and only when Park Lane, The Terrace, Lee Road and Fawcett Road do people park there. Over Easter I could not park anywhere except in Alde Lane - I cant begin to imagine what will happen if the above proposal goes through. Joy riders will delight at the prospect of another clear road.

Please reconsider your proposals – we have strong objections to any measures that will increase the pressure for on-street parking in the area, not least from the loss of value of our property point-of-view, and also for loss of business in the High Street. We must all look after our shopkeepers interests against the likes of Tesco where they have large car parks!

I urge you to come and see for yourselves the situation before spending unnecessary sums of local taxpayers money on double yellow lines all the way down Alde Lane when it is only necessary some 200 yards from the junction with Victoria Road - I assure you people very rarely park along here. Also come and see how full parking is in the Terrace, Park Lane, Fawcett Road and Lee Road is already without parking restrictions.

I look forward to your response.

Toby Nicholson

Toby Nicholson
Associate Director - Residential Investment
 Jones Lang LaSalle
 30 Warwick Street
 London W1B 5NH

Tel +44 (0)207 087 5154

Mob +44 (0)7791 303258
Toby.Nicholson@eu.jll.com
[Click here to visit our website](#)

Jones Lang LaSalle Limited is a limited company registered in England and Wales. Registration number: 1188567. Registered office: 22 Hanover Square, London W1S 1JA

This e-mail is for the use of the intended recipient(s) only. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately and then delete it. If you are not the intended recipient, you must not use, disclose or distribute this e-mail without the author's prior permission. We have taken precautions to minimise the risk of transmitting software viruses, but we advise you to carry out your own virus checks on any attachment to this message. We cannot accept liability for any loss or damage caused by software viruses. If you are the intended recipient and you do not wish to receive similar electronic messages from us in future then please respond to the sender to this effect.
