

## Scrutiny Committee Forward Work Programme

- a) All scrutiny items should have regard to Suffolk County Council's priorities:
1. Raising educational attainment and skill levels;
  2. Supporting the Local Enterprise Partnerships (LEPs) to increase economic growth;
  3. Maintaining roads and developing Suffolk's infrastructure;
  4. Supporting those most vulnerable in our communities; and
  5. Empowering local communities.
- b) The Scrutiny Committee can also scrutinise other public sector activity in Suffolk. There is a separate Health Scrutiny Committee which scrutinises issues relating to health and wellbeing in Suffolk. A separate Education and Children's Services Committee is responsible for scrutinising how the Council, and the other organisations with which it works, secure the best possible educational achievement and attainment for every child.
- c) The Scrutiny Committee is asked to consider and confirm or amend the following Forward Work Programme.

a) Provisional meeting date b) Date added c) Scrutiny Champion	Subject/ Council Priority	Description including why it is coming to committee for scrutiny and the anticipated outcomes	Who would the Committee wish to hear from in relation to this scrutiny item?
a) 26 November 2015 b) Annual	Pre-decision scrutiny of the 2016/17 budget  All priorities	Pre-decision scrutiny of the County Council's Financial Strategy and budget setting process.	All Cabinet Members Corporate Management Team Assistant Directors for Finance Officers - TBC

<b>a) Provisional meeting date</b> <b>b) Date added</b> <b>c) Scrutiny Champion</b>	<b>Subject/ Council Priority</b>	<b>Description including why it is coming to committee for scrutiny and the anticipated outcomes</b>	<b>Who would the Committee wish to hear from in relation to this scrutiny item?</b>
a) 10 February 2016 b) 25 September 2014 c) TBC	Speed limit policy criteria Priority 3	To consider the impact of policy criteria for speed limits agreed by Cabinet on 9 December 2014. To consider how requests for new schemes are being considered and implemented, what is working well and are there any ways in which the arrangements could be improved?	Cabinet Member Assistant Director (Highways) Members of the Speed Limit Cases Panel
a) Spring 2016 b) FWP (30.3.15) c) TBC	Highways Asset Management Priority 3	To consider progress to date with work taking place to develop an understanding of the condition of SCC's highways asset, including examples of best practice from other authorities, options for communicating the approach to local residents, next steps and timescales; data available and what does this show, modelling the impact of different investment levels, approaches to prioritising and allocating funds to works and how will information about this be communicated to local residents.	Cabinet Member Director/Assistant Director Officers from Highways
a) Summer 2016 b) 4 June 2015 c) TBC	Working with Local Enterprise Partnerships Priority 2	To consider progress which has taken place following scrutiny of this topic on 4 June 2015	Cabinet Member Assistant Director District and Borough Councils Business representatives
a) 30 June 2016 b) FWP (30.3.15) c) TBC	Financial impact of changes in adult care legislation and case law (2 of 2) Priority 4	To receive an update on work taking place to address the implications of the Care Act and case law relating to deprivation of liberty safeguards, including an assessment of financial impact to date, arrangements for implementation of Part 2 of the Act which comes into effect in April 2016, and associated implications.	Cabinet Member Director of Adult and Community Services Officers from Adult and Community Services

a) Provisional meeting date b) Date added c) Scrutiny Champion	Subject/ Council Priority	Description including why it is coming to committee for scrutiny and the anticipated outcomes	Who would the Committee wish to hear from in relation to this scrutiny item?
a) TBC b) 4 June 2015	Domestic Violence and Abuse Strategy	The Police and Crime Panel recommended (24.4.2015) that the Committee should consider undertaking scrutiny of performance, in dealing with domestic abuse, of the various County Council services referred to in a recent report by University Campus Suffolk published in March 2015 on this topic.	Lead Officers from SCC Stakeholders TBC

***The decisions and views taken by Scrutiny are separate to and independent of those taken by Suffolk County Council or its Cabinet.***  
For further information on any item appearing on this forward work programme, or if you wish to discuss possible items for future scrutiny, please contact Councillor Mary Evans, Chairman of the Scrutiny Committee; Email: mary.evans [@suffolk.gov.uk](mailto:mary.evans@suffolk.gov.uk) or contact 01473 260855.

Scrutiny Sub-Committee

Subject	Description	Contacts
Suffolk Flood Risk Management Scrutiny Sub-Committee	Statutory Duty – continue county wide joint scrutiny	Councillor Mary Evans, Suffolk County Council (Chairman) Councillor Barry Gasper, Babergh District Council Councillor Christine Mason, Forest Heath District Council Councillor Peter Gardiner, Ipswich Borough Council Councillor Roy Barker, Mid Suffolk District Council Councillor Wayne Hailstone, St Edmundsbury Borough Council Councillor Christine Block, Suffolk Coastal District Council Councillor Keith Patience, Waveney District Council

20 October 2015