

Outcomes from the Education and Children's Services Scrutiny Committee

[Updated: 22-11-2016]

[NB. Completed items are shaded in grey; previously completed items have been removed to save space/paper, but can be seen in the June 2016 publication]

#	Item	Date Raised	Action Owner / Current Status
2015 Q1-2	<p>Topic: The implications of the proposals set out in the Education and Learning Infrastructure Plan, December 2014</p> <p>Recommendation, to the Cabinet, Cabinet Member, and Director of CYP, to enable more frequent SCC officer input into the District and Borough planning committee discussions about the education funding needs that should be included in S.106 developer contribution agreements.</p>	25 Mar 2015	<p>Owner: Gavin Bultitude</p> <p>Status: <i>[27/10/16] Superseded. An agenda item at the meeting of 6 Sep 2016 gave an update on the Education and Learning Infrastructure Plan (ELIP) v2.1, which states that there is a new collaborative and public approach, including increased working with colleagues across the Districts and Boroughs to share data and intelligence that informs decision making.</i></p>
2015 Q1-15	<p>Topic: Information Bulletin</p> <p>Member Request: Provide a timeline of the stress on each locality / school so that the picture can understood by financial year.</p>	25 Mar 2015	<p>Owner: Gavin Bultitude</p> <p>Status: <i>[27/10/16] Superseded. An agenda item at the meeting of 6 Sep 2016 gave an update on the Education and Learning Infrastructure Plan (ELIP). ELIP v2.1 describes the capacity pressures on each locality and the population trends over time. More detailed statistical data is available from officers if required.</i></p>
2015 Q2-9	<p>Topic: Safeguarding</p> <p>Recommendation, to the Cabinet, Cabinet Member, and Director of CYP, to: Encourage regular trend analysis and production of information about what children safeguarding initiatives have worked well and produced improvements.</p>	11 June 2015	<p>Owner: Intelligence Hub <i>[Alison Matthews]</i></p> <p>Status: <i>[27/10/16] Superseded. There is regular analysis summarised in the Local Safeguarding Children Board (LSCB) annual report, covering what has worked well and also where more action is needed. The Ofsted inspection in Feb 2016 rated the LSCB as 'Good' and notes that "<i>the Board identifies relative strengths and shortcomings in the safeguarding system, primarily through themed multi-agency audits, completed to high standards, with recommendations rigorously monitored through the learning and improvement group.</i>"</i></p>

2015 Q4-7	<p>Topic: Schools Admission Planning and Appeals</p> <p>Recommendation, to the Cabinet, the Cabinet Member, and the Director of CYP, to, Given the potential serious safeguarding implications, seek more statistical information regarding Suffolk children who do not have a school place, or are missing from school, or are being Elective Home Educated (EHE) and, noting that the Council has no direct legal powers to intervene, lobby Members of Parliament regarding any concerns in relation to these children. <i>[The Committee had previously made a recommendation in relation to EHE pupils at its meeting on 15 Dec 2014]</i></p>	3 Dec 2015	<p>Owner: Jan Scott / Christine Copplestone</p> <p>Status: [30/3/16] NB. Further detailed information about Children Missing Education (CME) and Elective Home Educated (EHE) children was provided by S. Hudson and A. Orr in the Information Bulletin for the 2 Mar 2016 committee meeting (Agenda Item 8).</p> <p>[27/10/16] Superseded. Similar concerns have been aired in other parts of the country and there has now been <u>some</u> amendment of Regulations, as of 1 Sep 2016. In 'The Education (Pupil Registration) (England) (Amendment) Regulations 2016', there is a duty on the proprietor of a school to notify their local authority when they remove or add a pupil's name to the admissions register at non-standard transitions i.e. where a compulsory school-aged child leaves a school before completing the school's final year or joins a school after the beginning of the school's first year. The proprietors of schools will also be required to record the details of the pupil's residence, the name of the parent with whom they will reside and the date from which they will reside there, and the name of the destination school, where it is apparent the pupil will be moving to a new school. In addition, schools and local authorities will be required to make enquiries collaboratively where a child has not returned to school within 10 school days after an authorised absence or where a pupil is absent without authorisation for at least 20 school days.</p> <p>The initiative was prompted by a letter from Sir Michael Wilshaw, Ofsted which highlighted "<i>concerns from the inspectorate regarding the potentially high numbers of pupils whose names are being deleted from school admissions registers without either the schools or the local authorities having an accurate understanding of where those pupils have gone.</i>"</p>
2016 Q1-4	<p>Topic: Ofsted Inspection of Children's Services</p> <p>Recommendation, to Cabinet, Cabinet Member, and Dir CYP, to take account of the following suggestion:</p> <p>Further develop the use of peer audits, to get the culture</p>	2 Mar 2016	<p>Owner: Allan Cadzow / Graham Beamish</p> <p>Status: [22/11/16] A range of peer audits and support take place: Some examples are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • LSCB members scrutinize each-others Section 11

	embedded in the organisation.		<p>(Safeguarding) Audits</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Professional Development & QA Team undertake regular Support & Challenge with Teams – current work focusing on improving Assessment & Planning through use of supporting checklists and increased management oversight is being well responded to (To be reported January 2017). • Peer learning has taken place with Essex County Council with 2 days spent exploring different areas of their practice around preventing the need for children to become looked after • The Eastern Region Self-Assessment process is completed each year where Authorities partner up and review what is going well / worries / innovation / performance across CYPS • A 'Contact and Referral Peer' Review with Norfolk CYPS is in the process of being planned
2016 Q1-5	<p>Topic: Ofsted Inspection of Children's Services</p> <p>Recommendation, to Cabinet, Cabinet Member, and Dir CYP, to take account of the following suggestion:</p> <p>With regard to the educational performance at Key Stage 4 of looked after young people,</p> <p>(i) undertake further modelling to identify trends and causes of the downturn in outcomes in 2015, in the light of Suffolk's generally upward trends</p> <p>(ii) consider further integration of the virtual school and children in care endeavours with SCC's Education & Learning services, and</p> <p>(iii) seek learning from schools' case studies regarding KS4 care leavers</p>	2 Mar 2016	<p>Owner: Allan Cadzow / Graham Beamish / Matthew Cooke</p> <p>Status: [22/11/16] ONGOING. Update to be provided at a later date.</p>
2016 Q1-7	<p>Topic: Ofsted Inspection of Children's Services</p> <p>Recommendation, to Cabinet, Cabinet Member, and Dir CYP, to take account of the following suggestions:</p> <p>Redouble efforts with partners, in particular schools and</p>	2 Mar 2016	<p>Owner: Allan Cadzow / Graham Beamish</p> <p>Status: [22/11/16] ONGOING.</p> <p>The need is reiterated in the Local Safeguarding Children Board (LSCB) annual report:</p>

	<p>academies, to embed the multi-agency strategy on neglect, to ensure effective and early action in cases where neglect is established of children and young people.</p>		<p>“Considerable progress was made last year in ensuring that all LSCB policies are up to date. This needs to be maintained and a new chair for the Planning, Policy and Engagement sub-group needs to be identified by partners as a matter of urgency to ensure the momentum is not lost. In particular the multi-agency strategy on neglect needs to be revised. ... The priorities for 2016/17 [include]: Improved Integration - Build on the multi-agency NEGLECT strategy to tackle neglect, to identify prevalence and enable an evaluation of effectiveness”</p>
<p>2016 Q1-8</p>	<p>Topic: Ofsted Inspection of Children’s Services</p> <p>Recommendation, to Cabinet, Cabinet Member, and Dir CYP, to take account of the following suggestions:</p> <p>Ensure that the Local Safeguarding Children Board (LSCB) addresses the Ofsted recommendations, including regarding the systematic use of cross-agency data to inform service and demand planning.</p>	<p>2 Mar 2016</p>	<p>Owner: Allan Cadzow / Graham Beamish</p> <p>Status: [28/10/16] The 2015/16 Local Safeguarding Children Board (LSCB) annual report shows that the LSCB has taken note of and is seeking to address the Ofsted recommendations regarding data sharing:</p> <p>“The priorities for 2016/17 are: Improved Integration •Further develop the multi-agency data set and improve measures to understand the quality of practice, improve analysis and identify risks.”</p> <p>“Challenge and Support: ... The work of LSCB partners to drive forward the work of the Board, to challenge and share data and learning experiences and actively work together to overcome hurdles to multi-agency working, demonstrates willingness and a desire to provide united services to vulnerable young people, children and their families.”</p> <p>“Partner Contributions to the work of the Board: ... There are some good examples of partners sharing data to improve outcomes, in particular the recent CSE audit where a joint database was developed by the Police and CYP.”</p> <p>“What needs to happen? •A more consistent and timely approach to data reporting. •Need to drive inclusivity in Suffolk schools.”</p> <p>“What needs to happen? Suffolk County Council Children and Young People’s Services received a rating of GOOD in their</p>

			<p>recent Ofsted inspection. An area of MISSING required improvement. The recommendation from the inspectors was: <i>'Improve the offer, take-up and timeliness of return interviews for children missing from home and ensure that data and information relating to all children who go missing from home, care and school are collated more effectively and analysed to better inform strategic understanding and planning.'</i> A thorough and detailed report will come to the LSCB in July 2016. The report will reference how the Ofsted recommendation will be addressed to improve responses to Suffolk's missing children including further work investigations on why some children have high levels of missing episodes and what can be learned to potentially prevent recurring missing episodes."</p>
2016 Q2-1	<p>Topic: Suffolk Family Focus</p> <p>Recommendation, to Cabinet, Cabinet Member, and Dir CYP, to commend the continued successful work of officers and partners in Phase 2 of the SFF programme, adopting a similar approach to that used for Phase 1</p>	9 Jun 2016	<p>Owner: Cab. Member-Cllr Gordon Jones / Dir CYP-Sue Cook</p> <p>Status: [28/10/2016] This recommendation was included within the Scrutiny Update Report to Cabinet on 14 June 2016. The Cabinet noted the Scrutiny Update.</p>
2016 Q2-2	<p>Topic: Suffolk Family Focus</p> <p>Recommendation, to Cabinet, Cabinet Member, and Dir CYP, to raise for the attention of the Suffolk Public Sector Leaders Group and the Suffolk Health & Wellbeing Board:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) the challenges regarding effective collaborative sharing of multi-agency data relating to SFF, in particular NHS data, and to ensure regular progress reporting of Suffolk Family Focus to public sector leaders; and b) with regard to early partnership intervention in the mental health of young people – the perception that there was insufficient capacity in the system for those viewed to be 'not yet at crisis' or who did not meet current thresholds; 	9 Jun 2016	<p>Owner: Stuart Hudson / Graham Beamish</p> <p>Status: [28/10/2016] This recommendation was included within the Scrutiny Update Report to Cabinet on 14 June 2016. The Cabinet noted the Scrutiny Update.</p> <p>The Committee's recommendations were reported to the Health and Wellbeing Board on 21 July 2016. The minutes of that meeting are at http://committeeminutes.suffolkcc.gov.uk/LoadDocument.aspx?rID=0900271181d7b7fe&qry=c_committee%7e%7eSuffolk+Health+and+Wellbeing+Board Minute No.10(a) says: <i>At the suggestion of the Cabinet Member for Education and Children's Services, the Board agreed to request a report on the data sharing issues relating to Suffolk Family Focus.</i></p> <p>This report, 'Agenda Item 10 - Data sharing to enable delivery of Suffolk Family Focus', went to the H&W Board meeting on 17</p>

			<p>November. A link to the papers is here: http://committeeminutes.suffolk.gov.uk/meeting.aspx?d=17/Nov/2016&c=Suffolk Health and Wellbeing Board</p>
2016 Q2-3	<p>Topic: Suffolk Family Focus</p> <p>Recommendation, to Cabinet, Cabinet Member, and Dir CYP, to undertake focused work to look at further alignment with the findings from the Social Mobility and Child Poverty Commission Report</p>	9 Jun 2016	<p>Owner: Stuart Hudson / Graham Beamish</p> <p>Status: Update requested - ONGOING</p>
2016 Q2-4	<p>Topic: Suffolk Family Focus</p> <p>Recommendation, to Cabinet, Cabinet Member, and Dir CYP, to request an information briefing on the current work with Essex University on 'Predictive Modelling' to enable early intervention</p>	9 Jun 2016	<p>Owner: Graham Beamish</p> <p>Status: [28/10/2016] A briefing on 'Predictive Modelling in CYP' was provided in the Information Bulletin for the 6 September 2016 meeting of the Education and Children's Services Scrutiny Committee.</p>
2016 Q2-5	<p>Topic: Suffolk Family Focus</p> <p>Recommendation, to Cabinet, Cabinet Member, and Dir CYP, to look to provide further feedback and training to school Head Teachers regarding SFF good practice case studies</p>	9 Jun 2016	<p>Owner: Stuart Hudson / Graham Beamish</p> <p>Status: Update requested - ONGOING</p>
2016 Q2-6	<p>Topic: Making Every Intervention Count (MEIC) Update</p> <p>Recommendation, to Cabinet, Cabinet Member, and Dir CYP, to commend the work by officers and partner organisations, as evidenced by the good results and the recent Ofsted Report</p>	9 Jun 2016	<p>Owner: Cab. Member-Cllr Gordon Jones / Dir CYP-Sue Cook</p> <p>Status: [28/10/2016] This recommendation was included within the Scrutiny Update Report to Cabinet on 14 June 2016. The Cabinet noted the Scrutiny Update.</p>
2016 Q2-7	<p>Topic: Making Every Intervention Count (MEIC) Update</p> <p>Recommendation, to Cabinet, Cabinet Member, and Dir CYP, to further improve the clarity and referencing of the helpful summary 'dashboard' that was produced for the Committee meeting</p>	9 Jun 2016	<p>Owner: Graham Beamish</p> <p>Status: [22/11/16] This dashboard is maintained and has been extended to provide a more detailed focus on some key areas including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • FAST (Edge of Care) Service • MASH • Costs of Corporate Parenting Services

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Positive Choices Service (In Development) Data is available from graham.beamish@suffolk.gov.uk
2016 Q2-8	<p>Topic: Making Every Intervention Count (MEIC) Update</p> <p>Recommendation, to Cabinet, Cabinet Member, and Dir CYP, to undertake further investigation, including geographical analysis, of the reasons why Age 16-18 NEET figures, whilst decreasing year-on-year, are higher than the national average, and what MEIC or other initiatives including 'MyGO' are focused on addressing this</p>	9 Jun 2016	<p>Owner: Graham Beamish / Andrea Wood</p> <p>Status: [28/10/2016] Superseded. The subject of MyGo and young people who are Not in Education Employment or Training (NEET) will be addressed as a focused scrutiny topic at the Education and Children's Services Scrutiny Committee meeting on 1 December 2016.</p>
2016 Q2-9	<p>Topic: Making Every Intervention Count (MEIC) Update</p> <p>Recommendation, to Cabinet, Cabinet Member, and Dir CYP, to provide additional information about the baseline data used to derive the staff survey improvement percentages</p>	9 Jun 2016	<p>Owner: Graham Beamish</p> <p>Status: [28/10/2016] A briefing on 'CYP Staff Survey Update' was provided in the Information Bulletin for the 6 September 2016 meeting of the Education and Children's Services Scrutiny Committee.</p>
2016 Q2-10	<p>Topic: School Education Performance and Trends</p> <p>Recommendation, to Cabinet, Cabinet Member, and Dir CYP, to commend the efforts of all those involved, inside and outside schools, in achieving the recent improvement in educational performance in Suffolk</p>	9 Jun 2016	<p>Owner: Cab. Member-Cllr Gordon Jones / Dir CYP-Sue Cook</p> <p>Status: [28/10/2016] This recommendation was included within the Scrutiny Update Report to Cabinet on 14 June 2016. The Cabinet noted the Scrutiny Update.</p>
2016 Q2-11	<p>Topic: School Education Performance and Trends</p> <p>Recommendation, to Cabinet, Cabinet Member, and Dir CYP, to investigate and evaluate any improvement in literacy from initiatives such as provision of free dictionaries in schools, building on the recent partnership with the Educational Endowment Fund (EEF), and how awareness of this was being given to School Governors</p>	9 Jun 2016	<p>Owner: Adrian Orr</p> <p>Status: [21/11/16] Dictionaries have been released into Suffolk schools via a number of different local projects but it is difficult to comment specifically on their impact on literacy in individual schools. We are reviewing the unvalidated KS1 and 2 performance and forming a picture of areas of strength and areas for development. When we have completed this work we will cross reference schools performance with the schools that received dictionaries. There are caveats on the implications of the cross referencing as correlation does not mean causation.</p> <p>In terms of the EEF match funded project, there are currently 126 schools signed up to nine different projects. The training has</p>

			<p>been delivered over this term, with most projects at the beginning of measuring impact. Currently, the project's baseline is being gathered by the EEF through Nottingham University, with a survey closing at the end of November. This will be followed through with data collections and interviews with a sample of participating schools in the Spring term. It is anticipated that it will be Summer 2016 before we can realistically measure the impact of this work.</p> <p>The council is hosting a conference in January to further reiterate the need for the interventions to be applied with fidelity, to ensure that the expected improvements come to fruition. This message will be delivered by Dr Jonathan Sharples, a senior researcher at the EEF, and repeated to a gathering of governors to support them to support and challenge school leaders on the implementation of the intervention strategies.</p>
2016 Q2-12	<p>Topic: School Education Performance and Trends</p> <p>Recommendation, to Cabinet, Cabinet Member, and Dir CYP, to recommend to all Councillors to consider having an email 'strapline' making reference to the good educational performance of Suffolk schools, with an optional link to the newly launched Raising the Bar video</p>	9 Jun 2016	<p>Owner: Cab. Member-Cllr Gordon Jones</p> <p>Status: [28/10/2016] This recommendation was included within the Scrutiny Update Report to Cabinet on 14 June 2016. The Cabinet noted the Scrutiny Update.</p>
2016 Q3-1	<p>Topic: Public Participation Session</p> <p>Action: The Assistant Director agreed to circulate information on the timetable for the High Needs Strategy to the Committee after the meeting</p>	6 Sep 2016	<p>Owner: Gavin Bultitude</p> <p>Status: [28/10/16] A detailed paper on 'High Needs Funding – securing a sustainable service' was presented to the Schools Forum on 13 Oct 2016, notification of which was circulated to the members of the Education and Children's Services Committee:</p> <p>http://www.suffolklearning.co.uk/leadership-staff-development/schools-accountancy/schools-forum/schools-forum-meetings-2016/2016-07-04:-meeting-papers/2015-10-13:-meeting-papers</p> <p>Schools Forum will continue to receive updates on the progress of the work and on any proposals relating to changes to the high</p>

			needs service and support provided through the high needs block.
2016 Q3-2	<p>Topic: CYP Budget/Cost Savings Impacts</p> <p>Recommendation, to Cabinet, Cabinet Member, and Dir CYP, to commend the sincere, effective and efficient efforts of the Council's officers in keeping Suffolk children safe, and the associated Good Ofsted rating, in the face of increasing demands and the challenge of the budget deficit, for which solutions other than fairer funding for Suffolk were not clear</p>	6 Sep 2016	<p>Owner: Cab. Member-Cllr Gordon Jones / Dir CYP-Sue Cook</p> <p>Status: [28/10/2016] This recommendation was included within the Scrutiny Update Report to Cabinet on 13 September 2016. The Cabinet noted the report.</p>
2016 Q3-3	<p>Topic: CYP Budget/Cost Savings Impacts</p> <p>Recommendation, to Cabinet, Cabinet Member, and Dir CYP, to request an information briefing on the numbers, costs, pros and cons of Special Guardianship Orders (SGO)</p>	6 Sep 2016	<p>Owner: Graham Beamish</p> <p>Status: [22/11/16]</p> <p>SGOs have been in place since 2005. They are made by the courts to provide an appropriate degree of parental responsibility to typically a wider family member (e.g. uncle/aunt) or a foster carer, to provide an appropriate degree of parental responsibility. SGOs are a final, permanent legal order that are expected to last until the child is 18.</p> <p>In Suffolk approximately 40 SGOs were made where the Local Authority was involved in 2014/15, 60 in 2015/16 and 18 to date this year. 3520 SGOs were made nationally in 2014/15, the most recent data available by Local Authority indicating that Suffolk numbers have not been out of line with other LAs.</p> <p>The Government published a review of SGOs in December 2015 Link. The subsequent changes in The Special Guardianship (Amendment) Regulations 2016 include a strengthening of the assessment of prospective special guardians to ensure that they can fully meet the needs of the child through until adulthood.</p> <p><u>Financial Support</u></p> <p>As part of the Statutory Guidance for SGOs, Link, there is a means test it is suggested that Local Authorities use. This means test is reviewed annually. The SGO payment is typically</p>

			<p>equivalent to a band 1 foster carer, approximately £120 per week less child benefit.</p> <p>The age at which SGOs are made varies from case to case averaging approximately 4 years old. Unless circumstances change the SGO commitment can be expected to last until the child is 18.</p>
2016 Q3-4	<p>Topic: CYP Budget/Cost Savings Impacts</p> <p>Recommendation, to Cabinet, Cabinet Member, and Dir CYP, to request a map and additional information to show the geographical breakdown of the Looked After Children (LAC) statistics, including for Unaccompanied Asylum Seeking Children (UASC), and the likely causes and trends underlying the numbers, including moves from other local authorities' areas of responsibility</p>	6 Sep 2016	<p>Owner: Graham Beamish</p> <p>Status: [22/11/16]</p> <p>See the note and map on 'Suffolk Geography of Children in Care' included within the Information Bulletin, Agenda Item 7, for the 1 Dec 2016 meeting.</p>
2016 Q3-5	<p>Topic: CYP Budget/Cost Savings Impacts</p> <p>Recommendation, to Cabinet, Cabinet Member, and Dir CYP, to request an information briefing in a few months on any shared learning arising from the 'edge of care' intervention methods used in Essex</p>	6 Sep 2016	<p>Owner: Graham Beamish</p> <p>Status: [22/11/16] Essex County Council Children's Services have transformed from a position of Inadequate in 2008/10 to a position of 'Good' in 2014. They have sustained significantly lower rates of Children in Care since this point. A small team from Suffolk spend 2 days with Essex colleagues looking in some depth at their organisation, processes and services around Children in Care.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There are many similarities of approach between Essex and Suffolk. • Essex have additional supporting services and review mechanisms that support management of risk • The Dbit 'edge of care' service (similar to the Suffolk FAST service) is substantial with 4 teams. A 5th team to focus on reunification is in progress. This team has a good success rate 80%+ in avoiding care for the cases worked with.

2016 Q3-6	<p>Topic: CYP Budget/Cost Savings Impacts</p> <p>Action: A member of the Committee referred to work being undertaken by the Local Government Association on high cost care cases being moved from one authority to another and agreed to discuss this further with the Service Director outside of the meeting</p>	6 Sep 2016	<p>Owner: Allan Cadzow, Cllr Stringer</p> <p>Status: [9/11/16] Email reminder correspondence sent, so that they can set up a discussion at mutual convenience.</p>
2016 Q3-7	<p>Topic: School Infrastructure and Capacity Planning</p> <p>Recommendation, to Cabinet, Cabinet Member, and Dir CYP, to encourage greater publicity and awareness around the good news of 91% Good/Outstanding Ofsted ratings for the Early Years settings in Suffolk, in addition to the ongoing publicity about the greatly improved Primary and Secondary schools' Ofsted ratings</p>	6 Sep 2016	<p>Owner: Allan Cadzow, Christina Lewis</p> <p>Status: [28/10/16] In hand – There was a Suffolk County Council news release: Thursday 20 October 2016, 'Great news for Suffolk's Early Years Foundation Stage Profile Results 2016', stating, "There is more good news today for Suffolk's children as results in the early years continue to rise. Provisional figures which have today been published by the Department for Education show an increase in Suffolk children achieving a Good Level of Development in their Early Years Foundation Stage (EYFS) results. The assessments recognise children's achievements in the EYFS. ..."</p>
2016 Q3-8	<p>Topic: School Infrastructure and Capacity Planning</p> <p>Recommendation, to Cabinet, Cabinet Member, and Dir CYP, to include within the Education Learning and Infrastructure Plan (ELIP) an indication of which local authorities have in place a 5-year land supply of housing, and also information on asset disposals such as redundant Middle School buildings</p>	6 Sep 2016	<p>Owner: Gavin Bultitude, Pete Mumford</p> <p>Status: [11/11/16] Response - This will be included in the third iteration of the ELIP which we expect to take to cabinet early in 2017.</p>
2016 Q3-9	<p>Topic: School Infrastructure and Capacity Planning</p> <p>Recommendation, to Cabinet, Cabinet Member, and Dir CYP, to give due regard to Early Years provision needs when disposing of properties, such as redundant Middle School buildings</p>	6 Sep 2016	<p>Owner: Gavin Bultitude, Christina Lewis, Pete Mumford</p> <p>Status: [11/11/16] Response - We already review all surplus sites for educational use, including early years provision, before releasing them for disposal, and will continue to do this. It is unlikely that a large site such as a surplus Middle School would be suitable for early provision in its entirety, but we would look to see if a part of the site could be used.</p>

2016 Q3- 10	Topic: School Infrastructure and Capacity Planning Recommendation, to Cabinet, Cabinet Member, and Dir CYP, to request an information briefing item on the Cornerstone trial regarding Middle School asset disposal	6 Sep 2016	Owner: Gavin Bultitude, Pete Mumford Status: [11/11/16] A briefing has been provided in the Information Bulletin for the 1 Dec 2016 meeting.
2016 Q3- 11	Topic: School Infrastructure and Capacity Planning Recommendation, to Cabinet, Cabinet Member, and Dir CYP, to request sight of the Early Years Action Plan and more information on what the Early Years service was doing to develop, support and encourage new provision in the market for early years services, to address the government requirement for an increase from 15 to 30 hours/week of free childcare for 3-4 year-olds in 2017	6 Sep 2016	Owner: Alison Manning, Christina Lewis Status: [11/11/16] This information has been provided in the Information Bulletin for the 1 Dec 2016 meeting.
2016 Q3- 12	Topic: School Infrastructure and Capacity Planning Resolution: The Education and Children's Services Scrutiny Committee resolved that the Chairman should write to the Regional Schools Commissioner (RSC) on behalf of the Committee, noting the good level of communication and engagement between the RSC and Suffolk County Council, highlighting recent concerns about communication relating to new Free Schools, and referring to the RSC's comment in his written submission regarding sharing published information about the need for school places.	6 Sep 2016	Owner: Cllr Newman, Paul Banjo, Gavin Bultitude Status: [28/10/16] A letter was sent to the RSC's office on 26 Oct 2016. A copy is included in the Information Bulletin for the 1 Dec 2016 meeting.