

1: Cockfield Parish Council

Support during advertising of TRO

From: Cockfield Parish Council [<mailto:parish.council@cockfield.org.uk>]

Sent: 28 November 2016 18:01

To: Heather Miller

Cc: Jenny Antill; Clive Arthey; Morley, Robin (Tree Warden - Cockfield)

Subject: Suffolk County Council - Parish of Cockfield - A1141 - 40mph Speed Limit - Order 201

Dear Ms Miller

Further to the consultation (as referenced above), I can confirm that Cockfield Parish Council considered the proposed extension of the present 40mph limit on the A1141 Lavenham Road at its meeting on Thursday 24 November.

There was unanimous support for the extended limit and councillors agreed accordingly that this be represented to yourself at Suffolk Legal.

The development of Mill House on that road, together with the attendant holiday lets, means that increased safety measures can only have a positive impact on the road. Moreover, village residents in Mackenzie Place and Crowbrook Place have long-held concerns about the hazards caused by speeding vehicles along that stretch of highway and these have, not least, been repeatedly made known to the Parish Council and, indeed, Suffolk Constabulary. There is, as a consequence, an emphatically strong argument in favour of the extension which the Parish Council is keen to endorse.

The proposed extension will not only send an appropriate message to drivers on that route but also will provide serious reassurance to residents that their concerns are being heard and that positive action is being taken to address those concerns.

To reiterate therefore - Cockfield Parish Council wholeheartedly and unequivocally supports the extension of the 40mph limit on the Lavenham Road.

Doug Reed, Parish Clerk
For Cockfield Parish Council

Consultation Response

From: Cockfield Parish Council [<mailto:parish.council@cockfield.org.uk>]

Sent: 28 October 2016 10:22

To: Suffolk Highways Enquiries

Cc: 'Jenny Antill (SCC)'; 'Clive Arthey (Babergh D Cllr)'

Subject: Statutory Consultation - A1141 Lavenham Road, Cockfield - Ref:137106/STAT/ - Cockfield Parish Council Response

Good morning

Further to the consultation (as referenced above), I can now confirm that Cockfield Parish Council considered the proposed extension of the present 40mph limit on the A1141 Lavenham Road at its meeting on Thursday 27 October (last night) when members of the public, and both the County Councillor and District Councillor were present.

There was unanimous support for the extended limit and councillors agreed accordingly that this be represented to Suffolk Highways.

The development of Mill House on that road, together with the attendant holiday lets, means that increased safety measures can only have a positive impact on the road. Moreover, village residents in Mackenzie Place and Crowbrook Place have long-held concerns about the hazards caused by speeding vehicles along that stretch of highway and these have, not least, been repeatedly made known to the Parish Council and, indeed, Suffolk Constabulary. There is, as a consequence, an emphatically strong argument in favour of the extension which the Parish Council is keen to endorse.

The proposed extension will not only send an appropriate message to drivers on that route but also will provide serious reassurance to residents that their concerns are being heard and that positive action is being taken to address those concerns.

To reiterate therefore - Cockfield Parish Council wholeheartedly and unequivocally supports the extension of the 40mph limit on the Lavenham Road.

Doug Reed, Parish Clerk
For Cockfield Parish Council

parish.council@cockfield.org.uk

01359 241009

2: Babergh Mid Suffolk District Council (formerly Babergh District Council)

Support during advertising of TRO

From: Ian Tippet

Sent: 08 November 2016 15:51

To: Heather Miller

Cc: Anne Bennett; Morley, Robin (Tree Warden - Cockfield); Clive Arthey

Subject: Lavenham Road Cockfield TRO Consultation

Dear Heather

Please find the Babergh Mid Suffolk District Council's response to the above consultation:

Babergh and Mid Suffolk District Councils are fully supportive of Suffolk County Council's proposal to extend the 40 mph speed limit along the A1141 Lavenham Road, Cockfield, west of MacKenzie Place.

The proposed extension is highly desirable, to enhance the environment in the vicinity of MacKenzie Place, by encouraging reduced traffic speeds at this location. As a result vehicular access to MacKenzie Place and Crowbrook Road will be made much safer for residents and visitors.

The Council is always seeking to support local businesses and an extended 40 mph speed limit will also enhance the holiday let business at The Mill House on Lavenham Road, by making access to and from this property safer, particularly for holiday visitors who are not familiar with the road.

Were any further development to take place at MacKenzie Place, it is essential that the full frontage of such development is within an extended 40 mph speed limit for the safety of those using any new access onto Lavenham Road. Clearly, any proposal for development will be subject to its own consultation process and planning application and if approval is supported and granted for such a development, it would be helpful for the extended 40 mph speed limit to be in place ready for a start on site, again providing additional road safety for contractors and local residents.

I hope this information of assistance to you and please confirm receipt of this email.

Regards

Ian Tippet
Housing Development Officer

My usual working day is Tuesday of each week.

My contact points are:

Via the Asset & Development Team on 01449 724773

Mobile - 07715 238488

Email - ian.tippet@baberghmidsuffolk.gov.uk

Consultation Response

From: Clive Arthey [<mailto:Clive.Arthey@babbergh.gov.uk>]

Sent: 29 October 2016 00:14

To: Suffolk Highways Enquiries

Subject: RE: Statutory Consultation - A1141 Lavenham Road, Cockfield - Ref:137106/STAT/

Dear Melanie,

In response to this consultation I offer the following comments.

It is my view that traffic approaching from the northwest (Bury St Edmunds direction) takes some time to slow to the existing 40mph limit. Recent monitoring seemed to support that view. Existing (substandard) accesses to Crowbrook and McKenzie are located close to the existing start point of the 40mph limit. I believe it will significantly improve safety at those accesses if the limit is extended as proposed.

Recently approved (and implemented) planning applications at Mill House represent an intensification of use that justifies the proposed extension to include their access within it. Visitors to the holiday accommodation will be unfamiliar with the Mill House access and restricted visibility on the bend. Again, road safety will be much improved if this section is restricted to 40mph rather than the existing 60mph.

Turning to the issue of new development and access to it. Any proposal will be subject to separate consultation and will be judged on its merits, but accessing onto a 60mph section of road is unlikely to gain support and approval. The proposed extension of the limit will open up the possibility of a site where a development can help satisfy the identified housing and community needs of the village, I see that as an opportunity that should not be missed.

Whilst it is my view there is already sufficient justification for the 40mph extension, if it is the panel's view that it is dependent on a built frontage, and if development proposals are approved, can the limit please be in place before construction commences to ensure the construction access is within it.

Regards,

Clive Arthey

Other Comments

3: Suffolk Police

Consultation Response

From: Griss, Steve [<mailto:Steve.Griss@suffolk.pnn.police.uk>]
Sent: 20 October 2016 14:26
To: Suffolk Highways Enquiries
Cc: SNT Sudbury
Subject: RE: Statutory Consultation - A1141 Lavenham Road, Cockfield - Ref:137106/STAT/

Melanie

Thank you for sight of this. We have no objection to this, as per communication with Steve Merry in January this year.

I have copied the email to the SNT for their information.

Thanks again for the opportunity to comment.

Regards,
Steve

Steve Griss

Traffic Management Officer

Specialist Operations

Suffolk Constabulary

Portal Avenue

Martlesham Heath, Suffolk, IP5 3QS

Tel: 01473 613713

www.suffolk.police.uk

4: Keith King, 5 Mackenzie PlaceConsultation Response**From:** insightsupport@suffolk.gov.uk [<mailto:insightsupport@suffolk.gov.uk>]**Sent:** 28 October 2016 14:32**To:** Suffolk Highways Enquiries**Subject:** Customer Service Action KIER Recorded on Request 153552

CRNo	00153552
Action code	KIER
Action Due Date/Time	28/10/2016 00:00
Action Description	Please can you pass this to Justin Lewis who is dealing with the speed limit changes - thank you.
Action Initiated by	Paul Gant
Address	A1141 FROM BRENT ELEIGH TO C721
Address 2	MONKS ELEIGH
Location	
Request Description	Consultation comments - Customer feels the 40mph limited is going to be a waste of money as it won't slow the traffic down. They know this from experience as traffic often travels at 50< down that road which does have a 40 mph limitation. They feel a 30mph could be more effect, and/or the addition of a speed camera.
Request Recorded Date/Time	28/10/2016 14:19
Request Type	ROAG - Roads - Engineer
Customer Name	Keith King
Customer Telephone Number	01284 827666
Customer E-mail	

3-King Formal phone reply JL 161102. Phone conversation 3.40pm. He lives at 5 Mackenzie Place and received update letter today.

3-King response objection query JL 161124. JL spoke to Mr King at 9.35am for clarification over whether he considered his consultation response to be an objection. Mr King confirmed that he did not object to the TRO proposal but had written in because he felt that a speed reduction to 40mph would not work.

Mr King's response will not be considered as an objection to the TRO.